

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/887,412	(06/21/2001	Jerome E. Lengyel	MS1-603US 8941 EXAMINER	
22801	7590	04/05/2004			
LEE & HA			NGUYEN, KIMBINH T		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
DI GIUITE,				2671	8

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/887,412	LENGYEL, JEROME E.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kimbinh T. Nguyen	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	anuary 2004.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10)□	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)				
2) Notice (3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to amendment filed 01/14/01.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 28 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for not differing substantially from claim 27. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kim et al. "A Thin Shell Volume for Modeling Human Hair", IEEE, published May 2000, pages 104-111.

Claims 1 and 6, Kim et al. teaches generating a mesh grid (3D grid) of uncovered surfaces of the object (hair strands; page 105, lines 18-21 of the left column; figs. 1(c) and 2), the mesh grid including at least one grid element (a rectilinear 3D grid; fig. 1c, page 105); simulating hair by associating at least one seed each grid element (each cell of the grid is associated with a list of particles that reside inside it; fig. 1c,

Art Unit: 2671

page 105; each hair is associated with M particles that lies along its path; section 3, page 106); generating the hair in such a manner that at least one hair extends from each seed (volumetric textures extend a 3D volume of hair over the surface; see section 1, page 104), at least a portion of the hair extending beyond boundaries of the grid element (a thin shell volume is constructed on each surface. Inside the volume, hairs are associated with particles that move under constraints that model (boundaries of the grid element) the hair-hair interaction during combing; see page 105, the left column, lines 1-9; particles p are distributed among the cells (fig. 2) representing each strand passing through a cell; see section 2.1, page 105).

Claim 2, Kim et al. teaches parameterizing a texture in each of the grids (in fig. 1(c), a TSV is parameterized by the three variables (s, t, u), see page 105, section 2.1).

Claim 3, Kim et al. teaches identifying interactive (hair-to-hair interaction, see abstract) control and/or viewing parameters associated with each grid element of the mesh grid parameters (s, t, u) corresponding to the parameter (i, j, k) of the grid index) which determine which grid elements of the surface detail model are used to render surface detail in that grid element (each cell of the grid is associated with a list of particles that reside inside it, see page 105, section 2.1 and fig. 2).

Claim 4, Kim et al. discloses generating a shell texture model (TSV Model, fig. 3) for each grid of the mesh on the parameterization (s,t,u) of the grid elements (see page 105, section 2.1; section 2.2 page 106; figs. 2 and 3).

Claim 5, Kim et al. teaches generating a shell texture model (Thin Shell Volume (TSV) Model) for each element of a dynamically generated grid element representation

Application/Control Number: 09/887,412

Art Unit: 2671

of uncovered surfaces of an object (vertical motions of particles, see pages 107-108, section 3.4 Vertical Motion (u-direction; using the standard dynamics techniques for each strand's motion, see page 108, section 5. Animation).

Claim 23, Kim et al. teaches at least on seed (particles) contained within each mesh grid element of the mesh grid (each cell of the grid is associated with a list of particles that reside inside it; see page 105)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. "A Thin Shell Volume for Modeling Human Hair", (IEEE, published May 2000, pages 104-111) in view of Meyer et al. "Interactive Volumetric textures" (iMAGIS laboratorie GRAVIR/IMAG-INRIA, France, published 1998).

Claim 7, Kim et al. does not teach transparent texture; however, Meyer et al. teaches utilizing the volume texture to generate (encode) semi-transparent (or transparent) concentric shells of the volume texture (slices of the volume which is concentrated in the neighborhood of the surface), which are layered over select areas of the object surface (are mapped onto underlaying surface or superimposing these transparent slices, see abstract and section 1.1). It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/887,412

Art Unit: 2671

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching as taught by Meyer for mapping transparent layers into the Kim's method to generate transparent concentric shells of the volume texture with an extrusion offset, because it would increase the visual complexity of scenes displayed in the scope of interactive rendering (see conclusion).

8. Claims 8-13, 15-20, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. "A Thin Shell Volume for Modeling Human Hair", (IEEE, published May 2000, pages 104-111) in view of Rouet et al. (5,758,046).

Claim 8, the rationale provided in the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein. In addition, Kim teaches at least one hair extending from each seed so that a portion of the hair extends in a direction that has a perpendicular component to a plane formed by the mesh grid element (the s vector is orthogonal to both u (the u vector is aligned with the depth direction of the hair volume) and t (the t vector is aligned with the major direction of the hair flow); see section 2.1, page 105 and fig. 2, page 106). Kim does not teach a storage medium; however, Rouet et al. teaches a storage medium (storage 110, fig. 1) which executable instruction to implement a modeling agent (col. 2, lines 49-56) to develop a surface detail model (geometric model for the actual hair, col. 3, lines 1-12; fig. 3), and to render surface detail (rendering Details) over an object surface (col. 5, lines 25-47); and Kim teach instruction to implement a modeling agent (computer generated "virtual humans" are widely used in many areas, as virtual agents (see section 1, page 104). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the computer readable medium taught by Rouet's

Art Unit: 2671

teaching into modeling human hair of Kim's method for implementing a modeling agent by using iterative generating and rendering of hair in order to achieve realistic modeling of hair computationally practical manner, because it would achieve a high-degree of visual realism demands that the computer-generated image reflect lifelike digital representations of fine-grained objects (col. 1, lines 15-16). Further, Claims 9 – 13 and 24, Rouet et al. discloses a storage medium (see the rejection of claim 8 above), comprising claimed elements corresponding to claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 23 as taught by Kim et al. (see the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 above).

Claims 15-20 and 25 claim an apparatus comprising claimed elements corresponding to the rejection of claims 8-13; therefore, claims 15-20 are rejected under the same reasons set forth in claims 8-13.

Claim 26, the rationale provided in the rejection of claims 1 and 8 is incorporated herein. In addition Kim teaches the vertical motions of particles are generated in a way that combed hair moves upward (outward); see section 4, page 107.

Claims 27 and 28, each surface detail element includes a hair (a thin bounding volume that encloses a given hair surface; see abstract, page 104).

Claims 29 and 30, the rationale provided in the rejection of claims 1 and 8 is incorporated herein.

9. Claims 14, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. "A Thin Shell Volume for Modeling Human Hair", (IEEE, published May 2000, pages 104-111) in view of Rouet et al. (5,758,046) and further in view of Meyer et

Application/Control Number: 09/887,412

Art Unit: 2671

al. "Interactive Volumetric textures" (iMAGIS laboratorie GRAVIR/IMAG-INRIA, France, published 1998).

Claim 14, Kim does not teach a storage medium; however, Rouet et al. discloses a storage medium (see the rejection of claim 8 above); It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the storage medium taught by Route into modeling human hair of Kim's method, because it would achieve a high-degree of visual realism demands that the computer-generated image reflect lifelike digital representations of fine-grained objects (col. 1, lines 15-16). Rouet does not teach generate semi-transparent; however; Meyer et al. teaches utilizing the volume texture to generate (encode) semi-transparent (or transparent) concentric shells of the volume texture (slices of the volume which is concentrated in the neighborhood of the surface), which are layered over select areas of the object surface (are mapped onto underlaying surface or superimposing these transparent slices, see abstract and section 1.1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of interactive volumetric texture taught by Myers's method into the storage medium taught by Rouet's method to generate semi-transparent of the volume texture, because it would increase the visual complexity of scenes displayed in scope of interactive rendering (see section 7 conclusion). Further, Claim 22, Rouet et al. discloses a memory device (storage unit 110); a controller (CG 130, fig. 1) coupled to the memory device to implement the surface modeling agent (to perform the task of modeling, col. 2, lines 54-65; fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2671

Claim 21, the rationale provide in the rejection of claim 14 is incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 01/14/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's arguments, the rejection of claims 1, 8 and 15 have been modified in accordance to the amendment (see the Office Action).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kimbinh Nguyen** whose telephone number is (703) 305-9683. The examiner can normally be reached (Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM and alternate Fridays from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Part II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimbinh Nguyen

March 26, 2004

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600